

# USA: B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-1496, 03 October 2017

Kluwer Patent Blog  
October 25, 2017

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US)

*Please refer to this post as: Peter Reap, 'USA: B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-1496, 03 October 2017'; Kluwer Patent Blog, October 25 2017. <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2017/10/25/usa-be-aerospace-inc-v-cd-zodiac-inc-united-states-court-of-appeals-federal-circuit-no-2016-1496-03-october-2017/>*

---

In a dispute between patent holder B/E Aerospace and C&D Zodiac that was instituted by C&D's petition for inter partes review of B/E's patent for saving space in airplanes, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board did not err in concluding that claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12-14, 16-19, 21, 22, 24-29, 31, and 33-37 of the patent would have been obvious, and that claims 8, 20, 30, and 38 were patentable, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has determined. The determinations of the Board were all supported by substantial evidence (B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc., October 3, 2017, Stoll, K.). A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).