

Kluwer Patent Blog

USA: Sonix Technology Company, Ltd. v. Publications International, Ltd, 5 January 2017

Mark Engstrom (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Wednesday, January 11th, 2017

A federal district court erred in ruling that 34 claims of a patent on a system and method of using a graphical indicator were invalid as indefinite, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has ruled. Because a skilled artisan would understand, with reasonable certainty, the meaning of the term “visually negligible,” and because the intrinsic evidence supported—and the extrinsic evidence was consistent with—that conclusion, the district court’s invalidity ruling was reversed (Sonix Technology Company, Ltd. v. Publications International, Ltd., January 5, 2016, Lourie, A.).

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



This entry was posted on Wednesday, January 11th, 2017 at 2:40 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.