

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## EPO: T 1756/11, European Patent Office (EPO), Board of Appeal, T 1756/11, 14 January 2015

Lars de Haas (V.O.) · Tuesday, June 9th, 2015

An EPO board held that observations filed by third parties during inter parte appeal must be disregarded by the board, unless they concern amendments during opposition or appeal, in which case the board had discretion whether or not to consider the observations in the examination of the amendments. Also when a party to the appeal took position on third party facts and evidence, the board had to exercise its discretion. In contrast, EPO policy required opposition divisions to take position on the relevance of the third party observations in any case, treating them like late filed submissions.

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).*



Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions  
36,000+ cases  
100+ books  
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now  
[KluwerIPLaw.com](http://KluwerIPLaw.com)

 Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Tuesday, June 9th, 2015 at 12:58 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [EPC](#), [EPO Decision](#), [European Union](#)

---

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.