In August 2013, I produced a short commentary for the Kluwer Patent Blog regarding the decision of Mrs Recorder Meade QC in SDL Hair Ltd v Next Row Ltd & Ors ([2013] EWPCC 31), where Mr Meade was faced with the question of whether two letters and one email constituted groundless threats of patent infringement (28 August 2013). Please refer to this post as:
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