## **Kluwer Patent Blog**

## "Que le pouvoir arrête le pouvoir" – From Montesquieu to Battistelli

Thorsten Bausch (Hoffmann Eitle) · Tuesday, December 9th, 2014

Charles de Secondat, Baron de Montesquieu knew it all as early as 1748: "Experience teaches that every human being who has the power tends to abuse it. Therefore, it is necessary that the power sets limits to the power. There are three things in every state authority: the legislature, the executive and the judiciary. There is no freedom, if they are not separated from each other."

Okay, why should a French President in 2014 bother about what one of his learned countrymen wrote in a book in the baroque times? Perhaps because it still matters. On 3 December 2014 the President of the EPO had one member of the Boards of Appeal escorted out of the Office by his "Investigation Unit" and imposed a "house ban" on him. These events have been reported at some length in the blogs of IPKat, EPLAW, WIPR and in JuVe online. It has also been reported that the President seems to interfere with the functioning of the Boards of Appeal by not reappointing Board Members since decision R 19/12 where the Enlarged Board found for the first time that its own Chairman was too much involved in the internal administration of the Office not to be concerned about his judicial independence. What a tragedy to see this view confirmed and elevated to the next level by the President's most recent actions! One examiner who wanted to stay anonymous spoke of an atmosphere of "fear and terror" at the EPO. When I read it for the first time a while ago, I thought this must be an exaggeration. I am no longer so sure.

Of course, the President has no power to suspend a member if the Boards of Appeal from the Office. Art. 23 EPC is quite clear on that, stating under the Heading "Independence of the members of the Board of Appeal":

(1) The members of the Enlarged Board of Appeal and of the Boards of Appeal shall be appointed for a term of five years and may not be removed from office during this term, except if there are serious grounds for such removal and if the Administrative Council, on a proposal from the Enlarged Board of Appeal, takes a decision to this effect.

That sounds reasonable, whereas the latest actions of Mr. Battistelli raise doubts. If Montesquieu knew of them, he would turn around in his grave.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.

19% (19%) (1

2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer



This entry was posted on Tuesday, December 9th, 2014 at 7:25 pm and is filed under EPC You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.