

T248/12, European Patent Office (Appeals Court), 5 March 2013

Kluwer Patent Blog
July 14, 2013

Lars de Haas (V.O.)

Please refer to this post as: Lars de Haas, 'T248/12, European Patent Office (Appeals Court), 5 March 2013', Kluwer Patent Blog, July 14, 2013, <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2013/07/14/t24812-european-patent-office-appeals-court-05-03-2013/>

The Board observed that it could not be understood that the "technical relevance" criterion, proposed by another board in T 1906/11 for judging extension of subject matter, defines a new standard for judging amendments with respect to Article 123(2) in the case of intermediate generalizations. Instead, the Board had to decide whether the technical information inferred by the skilled person was new having regard to the content of the original application as filed.
Click [here](#) for the full text of this case.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.kluweriplaw.com>