

Palaiochorinos S.A. v. Papanastasiou, Court of Appeal Thessaloniki (Εφετείο Θεσσαλονίκης), 20 February 2009

Kluwer Patent Blog
November 15, 2010

Eleni Lappa (Drakopoulos Law Firm)

Please refer to this post as: Eleni Lappa, 'Palaiochorinos S.A. v. Papanastasiou, Court of Appeal Thessaloniki (Εφετείο Θεσσαλονίκης), 20 February 2009', Kluwer Patent Blog, November 15 2010, <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2010/11/15/palaiochorinos-s-a-vs-papanastasiou-court-of-appeal-thessaloniki-%ce%b5%cf%86%ce%b5%cf%84%ce%b5%ce%b9%ce%bf-%ce%b8%ce%b5%cf%83%cf%83%ce%b1%ce%bb%ce%bf%ce%bd%ce%b9%ce%ba%ce%b7%cf%82-20-february-2/>

The Thessaloniki Court of Appeal held in nullity proceedings that the patent, covering ornamental light devices, lacked both novelty and inventive step. The patented subject matter was found to be known in the market and circulating in trade prior to the date of filing the application for patent protection.

A **full summary** of this case has been published on **Kluwer IP Law**.