Kluwer Patent Blog

EPO and US Supreme Court aligned!

Korbinian Kopf (Maiwald Patentanwalts GmbH) · Thursday, July 29th, 2010

The Supreme Court of the United States issued an opinion on appeal (as Bilski v. Kappos) that affirmed the judgment of the CAFC of affirming the rejection of the patent, but revised many aspects of the CAFC's decision. In their decision, handed down on June 28, 2010, the Supreme Court rejected the machine-or-transformation test as the sole test of process patent eligibility based on an interpretation of the language of 35 USC § 101.

Reading the opinion and listing to US law insiders, it appears that there is the opinion that the Supreme Court of the United States does not favour one test or rule to be the only one decisive test to 35 USC \$ 101 since this one test may not be suitable for future technical developments.

In G01/04 and G03/08, the EPO's Enlarged Board of Appeals seems to have the same approach. The 'feature stripping approach' of the EPO, namely to ignore non-technical features in the assessment of inventive step, basically is a case by case approach where no general rule is blindly applied. It leaves a lot of freedom to the examiners to apply 'common sense'. I hope the EPO will make use of it !!

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, July 29th, 2010 at 11:05 am and is filed under EPO, United States of America

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.