
Kluwer Patent Blog

Actavis v. OCNL, District Court The Hague (Rechtbank Den Haag), 23 September 2009

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Thursday, September 3rd, 2009

According to the District Court of The Hague, Administrative division, Article 19 (2) of the SPC Regulation on medicinal products prohibits the ‘opposition’ in a national procedure by a third party against the grant of a pediatric extension of the duration of a Supplementary Protection Certificate. Third parties may submit an application for revocation of the extension of the duration to the body responsible under national law for the revocation of the corresponding basic patent on the basis of Article 16 (2) of the SPC Regulation.


The [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2021 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 81% of the law firms expect to view technology as an important investment in their future ability to thrive. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.



Kluwer IP Law



This entry was posted on Thursday, September 3rd, 2009 at 5:46 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Chemical Engineering](#), [Netherlands](#), [Opposition](#), [Revocation](#). You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.