
Kluwer Patent Blog

Device Diagnostics/Sony, European Patent Office (EPO Board of Appeal), 27 May 2009

Ferry van Looijengoed · Wednesday, May 27th, 2009 · Landmark European Patent Cases

This case concerns a referral to the Enlarged Board of Appeal regarding the interpretation of the term ‘pending application’ in the wording of Rule 25(1) EPC 1973. In the appealed decision from the receiving section, the receiving section held that from the date of the refusal by an examining division an application was no longer pending as long as during the time limit for filing an appeal no appeal is filed. In contrast, the appellant asserted that the term ‘pending application’ should be construed that the pending status of an application only ends when the refusal becomes final.

The Legal Board of Appeal held that the wording of the EPC and the relevant case law of the Boards of Appeal as well as national law cannot provide a conclusive answer as to how the term ‘pending application’ should be interpreted. Hence, the following question was referred to the Enlarged Board of Appeal: is an application which has been refused by a decision of the Examining Division thereafter still pending within the meaning of Rule 25 EPC 1973 (Rule 36(1) EPC) until the expiry of the time limit for filing a notice of appeal, when no appeal has been filed?

The [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).


To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2021 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 81% of the law firms expect to view technology as an important investment in their future ability to thrive. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred

location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.



This entry was posted on Wednesday, May 27th, 2009 at 2:29 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [electricity](#), [electronics](#), and [electromagnetism](#) and includes control systems, medical electrical engineering, electrical energy systems, high-performance electronics, robotics, electric motors, digital and analogue circuits, circuit topologies, oscillators, amplifiers, filters, semiconductor components, silicon-based components, semiconductor systems, sensors, transistors, passive components, MEMs, smartcards, signal processing systems, digital signal processing, coding techniques, computer-related inventions, computer technology, embedded software, telecommunications, GSM/UMTS/CDMA systems and typologies, telecommunications coding techniques, positioning technologies, TFT/LCD/Plasma/(O)LED displays, Plasma physics, Solar cells, Semiconductor physics, Deposition techniques, etching techniques, thin film techniques, epitaxy techniques, Plasma processing, MRI, Thermodynamics, Nuclear physics, Nuclear energy, Nuclear applications in medicine, Geophysics, Aerodynamics, Sustainable energy technologies, Computational physics">Electrical Engineering, [EPC](#), [Validity](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.