

Kluwer Patent Blog

Napp v. Ratiopharm, Court of Appeal Civil Division (Court of Appeal Civil Division), 01 April 2009

Robert Burrows (Bristows) · Wednesday, April 1st, 2009 · Landmark European Patent Cases

The Court of Appeal has overturned the High Court's finding of non-infringement, holding Napp's divisional patents, relating to controlled release formulations of a painkiller called oxycodone, to be valid and infringed by Ratiopharm's and Sandoz's 'Cimex' product. The Court of Appeal's finding of infringement contrasts with decisions in Germany where the German designation of the patents were found to be not infringed.

The [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).



Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Wednesday, April 1st, 2009 at 8:39 am and is filed under

Biologics, Case Law, Extent of Protection, Scope of protection, United Kingdom

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.